ROCKEDINGS

of a

MILITARY COURT FOR THE TRIAL OF WAR CRICINALS

held at

LUNEBURG, GERMANY

on

MONDAY. 17 SEPTEMBER. 1945

upon the trial of

JOSEF KRAMER and 44 others

(FIRST DAY)

Transcript of the Official Shorthand Notes.

1.

(R/6/26/9)

REDEX

	Page
AND AGAZION BY THE DEPENDING CONTIGUES	1 .
BURNEY BY COL. BACKGENEON	2
DECLEROR OF THE COURT	2
APPLICATION BY THE DEPONDERS OFFICED WAS RECEIVE THIS OF	8
HEAT BY OUR BACKHOUSE	12
MASCHO-UP ON APPLICATION	14
DEGLET OF THE CART	15
FUNDER APPLICATION BY THE DEFENDING OPPLOTES FOR REPARLED TRIALS	15
REPLY BY O'L. MACKIE USE	18
AUMICING-UP ON PURCTURE APPLICATION	20
DEATH OF THE COURT	20
CHANGE SHEET	21
GERNING SPERM FOR THE PROCEDUTION BY ON. BESTEVANS	21

At 1030 hours the trial commences.

The Order convening the court is read.

The names of the president and members of the court are read over in the hearing of the accused and they severally enswer to their names.

The president, members and judge advocate are duly sworn.

The shorthand writers are duly sworn.

The interpreters are duly sworn.

The prosecutor is Col. T.M. BACKHOUSE, TD., of the Legal Staff, adquarters, British Armyof the Rhine, assisted by Major H. G. MURTON-WEALE, R. A.

The defending officers are Major WINWCOD, RA., (Solicitor) who defends accused No. 1 (Kramer), 2(Klein), 3 (Weingertner) and 4, (Kraft).

(Major A. S. HUNRO, RASC., (Solicitor) who defends accused No. 5 (Hoessler), 6 (Borman), 7 (Volkenrath) and 8 (Ehlert).

Major L. S. W. CRANFINID, HAC., (Solicitor) who defends accused No. 9 (Grese), 10 (Lothe), 11 (Lobauer) and 12 (Klippel).

Capt, D. F. ROB RTS, R. A. (Solicitor) who defends accused No. 14 (Schmedidst) and 16 (Frazich.)

Capt. C. BROWN, R. A. (Solicitor) who defends accused No. 17 (Gura), 18 (Mathes), 19 (Callesson) and 21 (Egersdorf).

Capt. J. H. FIRLDEN, R. A. (Solicitor) who defends accused No. 22 (Pinchen), 23 (Otto) and 25 (Stofel).

Capt. E. W. CORBA X, Cameronians, (Berrister) who defends accused No. 26 (Schrairer), 27 (Dor), 28 (Barsch) and 29 (Zoddel).

(Schlomoivies,) 34 (Forster, Ida), 33 (Forster, Ilse) and 35 (Opitz).

Capt. J. R. PHILLIPS, R. A. (Barrister), who defends accused No. 36 (Klein), 37 (Bothe), 38 (Walter) and 39 (Haschke).

Lt. J.M. BOYD, R. A., (Solicitor), who defends accused No. 40 (Fif.t), 41 (Sauer), and 42 (Lisiewitz).

(Roth), 44 (Hempel) and 45 (Hahnel).

Lt. A. JEIRZEJOWICZ, Polish Armoured Division, (Polish Master of Laws), who defends accused No. 48 (Staroska), 47 (Polanski), 46 (Kopper), 31 (Ostrowski), 20 (Burgraf) and 32 (Aurdziej).

Ascused Nos. 13 (Jermer), 15 (Steinssts) and Sk (Melaher) are unable to be present and their names are accordingly abrusk out of the charge.

The names of the somused are read ever.

The first charge is interpreted to the secured.

The AIRGS A VOLATE: It was intinated to me by one of the defending officers that he might wish to say senething before the accuracy nor actually arraigned. If that is correct and you want to make my application before the accused are naked to plead to this first charge, the court will hear that you have to say.

Major CHANFIELD: I wish to make an application which, by agreement with all the defending officers, is made on behalf of all the accused.

The application falls under two heads. The first part arises out of Rule of Procedure 32 and it is an objection that the charge does not disclose an offence. By application on that is that the right of the defence to make such an objection should be reserved and that the trial should proceed without prejudice to the right to make the objection at a later stage. The grands on which I make that application will be made clear from the second part of my application.

The second part of my application arises under my 39. It is an application to the court for assistance in the reparation of the defence. I make both parts of my application together because they are inter-related. I think it is more convenient to seal with them now although under my 39 this application should be made after the plea and not before.

The defending officers communed work, under the angle of his livinion, on 7 Sections. A request was at once made for the attendance of an officer from the JAG's Department and it was arranged that he should attend on Menday, 10 September. The work-ond was spent by the defending officers in going through the papers and interviewing the accused. On Menday a conference of defending officers was held and subsequently a meeting was held which was attended by an efficer from the JAG's Department and by the Staff Captain 'a' of his Division. At that meeting the defending officers made certain respects for assistance and as a result a letter was despatched by his Division to 50 Corps District. I think it will be of assistance if I supply cepies of the letter to the members of the court and to the resecutor. (Mended).

The material passages start at para i(a)(1). The first request of the defending officers was to be allowed to seek the services of a Dritish expert on international Law and also a Beighus expert, whose ness was sent forward by the defending officers. For 2 deals with the method by which it was suggested that might be done and para 5 states that the expense should be borne by the public. Form 4 is immaterial and para 5 is a request that the defence be say lied with legal books, again at the public expense, together with certain documents and publications material to the matter at inque.

The request for an expert on international law has become one of the major points in the proparation of the defence. That letter, dated to deptomer, was forwarded to 30 Gorps District by Special D.M., proceeded by a telephone.

had he

message giving the gist of what was required. It went from 50 Corps District to the Rhine Army on the morning of 11 September. On 12 September a reply was re eived, by telephone, stating that there was no objection to a defending officer going to UK to obtain the books which were required, but that it could not be done at the public expense. It was further stated that a legal expert, either from UK or Belgium, could not a pear at the trial because there were no means of paying his expenses, but that Brig. Wilberforce would be made available. This offer was accepted and a defending officer left for England by air that day to obtain books, under arrangements made by all the defending officers.

Enquiries were then made to ascertain how soon Brig. Wilberforce could come.

On 13 September a message - again by telephone- was received stating that Brig.

Wilberforce could not appear in court but that he would be available to a vise the defence. It was further stated that experts in international law could be brought to the court at the expense of the accused. Therefore we were told first of all that experts sould not be brought from England and later that they could be brought, that is, the Rhine Army would rovide passages, authorities and so forth, but the fees would have to be paid by the defence. Unfortunately, when this last information was received the defending officers representative had already gone to London and it was too late for him to enquire whether it was possible to get - as we think it is quite probable we can get - a volunteer expert to come here without remmneration.

In answer to that, the defending offic rs requested that Brig. Wilberforce should be produced. On the next day, 14 September, a further telephone message was received saying that Brig. Wilberforce could not attend at all and that another officer, a Major Woodhouse, would be made available to a vise the defence. Major Woodhouse in fact arrived on 15 September at about four o'clock and a yed until yesterday, when, we were informed, he had to leave. Whilst here he was of considerable assistance.

The defence now apply for Prof. Lauterpark of Cambridge University, or failing him, Prof. Brierly of Oxford University, or failing him an English authority on international law to be nominated by Prof. Lauterpark, to be despatched here at the earliest opportunity to advise and assist the defence on matters of international law and, if required by us, to present an argument to the court on behalf of the defence on any points of international law that arise. That is the first matter on which re require assistance.

The JUDGE ADVOCATE: Is it your point that you would like to attack the charge sheet but that you cannot do it until you have had expert advise?

Major CRANFIELD: Yes. We find ourselves in a considerable difficulty in that between us we have very little knowledge of international law. It appears to us that there are some points on international law which arise in this case and we do not know where we are because we have not sufficient knowledge to apply our minds to the points.

We also applied for more ordinary assistance. First of all, we asked for all the plans and photographs referred to in the abstract. You will remember that a set of photographs is exhibited to one of the affidavits in the abstract and also a plan of, I think, Belsen Camp. When the abstract was supplied to the defence those photographs and the plan were missing. One set of photographs was produced but no plan.

message giving the gist of what was required. It went from 30 Corps District to the Rhine Army on the sorning of 11 September. On 12 September a reply was received, by telephone, stating that there was no objection to a defending officer going to UK to obtain the books which were required, but that it could not be done at the public expense. It was further stated that a legal expert, either from UK or Belgium, could not appear at the trial because there were no means of paying his expenses, but that Brig. Wilberforce would be made available. This offer was accepted and a defending officer left for England by air that day to obtain books, under arrangements made by all the defending officers.

Enquiries were then made to ascertain how soon Brig. Wilberforce could come, On 13 September a message - again by telephone- was received stating that Brig. Wilberforce could not appear in court but that he would be available to a vise the defence. It was further stated that experts in international law could be brought to the court at the expense of the accused. Therefore we were told first of all that experts sould not be brought from England and later that they could be brought, that is, the Rhine Army would provide passages, authorities and so forth, but the fees would have to be paid by the defence. Unfortunately, when this last information was received the defending officers' representative had already gone to London and it was too late for him to enquire whether it was possible to get - as we think it is quite probable we can get - a volunteer expert to come here without remuneration.

In answer to that, the defending offic rs requested that Brig. Wilberforce should be produced. On the next day, 14 September, a further telephone message was received saying that Brig. Wilberforce could not attend at all and that another officer, a Major Woodhouse, would be made available to a vise the defence. Major Woodhouse in fact arrived on 15 September at about four o'clock and a yed until yesterday, when, we were informed, he had to leave. Whilst here he was of considerable assistance.

The defence now apply for Prof. Lauterpark of Cambridge University, or failing him, Prof. Brierly of Oxford University, or failing him an English authority on international law to be nominated by Prof. Lauterpark, to be despatched here at the earliest opportunity to advise and assist the defence on matters of international law and, if required by us, to present an argument to the court on behalf of the defence on any points of international law that arise. That is the first matter on which we require assistance.

The JUDGE ADVOCATE: Is it your point that you would like to attack the charge sheet but that you cannot do it until you have had expert advise?

to ordinary annia

Major CRANFIELD: Yes. We find ourselves in a considerable difficulty in that between us we have very little knowledge of international law. It appears to us that there are some points on international law which arise in this case and we do not know where we are because we have not sufficient knowledge to apply our minds to the points.

We also applied for more ordinary assistance. First of all, we asked for all the plans and photographs referred to in the abstract. You will remember that a set of photographs is exhibited to one of the affidavits in the abstract and also a plan of, I think, Belsen Camp. When the abstract was supplied to the defence those photographs and the plan were missing. One set of photographs was produced but no plan.

The JURGE ADVOCATE: May I interrupt for a moment? Some of your arguments do not seem to me to be a matter for the court at all. Take this last point. Cannot you first of all tell the prosecutor what you want and arrange matters without making an application to the court. If there is something which will help you with your defence and it is available I as sure it will be handed to you at once without any instruction from the court. I do not think you need put these sort of points to the court unless you are having difficulty with the prosecutor. Is there any difficulty, Gol Backhouse?

Col. BACKHOUSE: I have never been saked to supply them.

Major (RANFIELD: We have one set of photographs, but no plan-

Col. BACK-HUSE: The plan does not form part of the abstract. I have no copy of it either. There was exhibited to the affidavit a report; that report does say there is a plan appended, but it never was appended. It is not part of the abstract and we have not got it.

Major CRANFIELD: The reason for asking this application now is that we have had difficulty in these matters. We reduced our requirements three days after we were originally instructed, i.e. 10 September. It is now 17 September and to a large extent our requirements have not yet been met. Our requests have had to go through 43 Division (whose staff throughout have been most helpful) to 30 Corps and then to the Army of the Rhine. Presumably the return route is through the same channels. We now ask the court to assist in expediting these matters.

Another matter concerns defence witnesses. Here again I think 16 would be convenient both now and later on if I hand to the court a list of witnesses requested by the defence. (Handed). I do not want the court to be appalled by the length of the list. It is a consolidated list, incorporating all the lists that were at various times put in to 43 Division and transmitted by them to higher authority. The defence is well aware that a number of persons listed here are not readily procurable and are in fact very such sought after as alloged war oriminals. A number of the names are those of witnesses who will be called by the presecution. There are witnesses here who are vital to the defence and full particulars have been supplied to the authorities, and in some danes of SS personnel who are known to have been taken prisoner, the time and place of their capture and the unit to which they belonged. That was a week ago but they have not yet been produced. I refer in particular to numbers 14 to 21, all of whom are SS personnel captured at Bergen by the British forces. In those cases the name of the unit and date of capture has been supplied. In the case of numbers 56 to 58, the village where they lived and the aldress has been supplied. I quote those in particular because they are witnesses in the case of one of the accused whose defence will be that he was not there at all and that it is a complete mistake. If we cannot produce those witnesses it is going to be his word enainst the word (or possibly the effidavit) of the prosecution witness.

Our application in regard to those is that the court should assist us by having the production of the witnesses expedited.

The JUDGE A VOCATE: The court will do all they can to assist you. I feel sure, however, that I am expressing the view of the court when I say it would be better if you could get in touch with the prosecution and find out from them

not help you then I think the court would hear you on that and would be prepared to say: "We will do all we can to help you in your defence". I think the court would like you first of all to consult with the presecution to see whether that has been done to get what you mant. It seems to me that only after things may right themselves without the court's assistance. I do not know whether that course expeals to you.

What interests me most is your float epolisation. Are you putting up a plea under RF 32 but saying you would have to have that argued at a later stage in the proceedings when you are in a contains to do it?

Major CRANFESIDI Yes

The JUME A VOCATE: The Bule says this! The accused, when required to plead to any charge, may object to the charge on the arc rise that it does not disclose any offence, under the Army at" - or under the Regulations in this case - "and is not in accordance with the Regulations". It is a matter for the court. It is for the court to take the evidence and to agree that the right of the defence to make the objection should be received but that they should be allowed to do it at the close of the case for the rescention. That course may appeal to the court, but I think they would like to hear Gol Backsouse first.

Coll Backs USE: The Rule, of course, requires the court to adjudicate on the point now. However, if the court think it right and proper that we should take the course of leaving the adjudication on this point till the end of the evidence for the prosecution, the prosecution has not the alightest objection to that

decision:

(The court confer)

The Juneau and charter The court have not thought it measuremy to retire. They are of opinion that it is desirable to go on and hear the evidence now. They will preserve your right to object to the validity of this charge, or the other charge, or both, at some suitable time during the recordings. You may or may not do so, but if you or any of your colleagues desire to do so the court will allow them to do it at some suitable time when you feel competent to deal with the argument in law. Does that setiafy you?

Major Character Yese

The JUDGE ALVOCATE: Can we alsor the other matter up by leaving you to discuss it with the presecutor. If there are any difficulties and you think the president should be asked to intervene, you can have an application to the court later.

Major GRANFIELD: Yes, if you consider that that will be useful. We had not as opertunity of discussing the matter with the proceduting officers until yesterday. It do not know whether they have appoint socilities at their disposal to get this assistance which we require. We were hoping that the court might, by direct reference to the convening officer, expedite both help from England.

6.

THE JUDGE ADVOCATE: I am not in the mood to advise the court that they should just

say to the prosecutor: "Help the defence in everything they want". We assume

retains. I do not know speciar the doors thinks that the La a correspond

Col. Backhouse will try to help you in every way he can, If, however, there

is something which you think is important and on which you consider you are

not getting assistance from the military authorities, if you tell the

THE FIRST ADVICATES. The cas I would drew poor offention to be S(S): "In

president I am sure he will then intervene and put forward your complaint.

we application by stey of them to be total encounted chall be eligent by

THE PRESIDENT: I suggest that you discuse the matter with the prosecutor either

during the lummbern interval or after the court closes today. If there are

then any matters on which you require the help of the court, if you make

sudestanted of the defence here to this is only an application to be

charges and those charged on both charges, were the pourse of when set those

tried jointly in respect of my medium evine and no

application tomerrow the court will not. Boes that satisfy you?

total asperately, it is an application that the ten average, one old

That repulsely on acre were

Major CRANCINIO: Yes. That is the function south I would get this portion

The PRESIDENT: Is that all right, Col. Backhouse?

Col. BACKHOUSE: You will be the store of it, there will be very entry of the

people who are charged so how courses, or being when an the ter shares, for warmen being that a man was in charged with having been at linear raise will device the moures of that total hour evidence alone of man happened at many think in the precision was be pricessed annually in other words.

trials. I do not know whether the Court thinks that this is a convenient juncture to do so. It merely takes the form of an application under Rule of Procedure 32 that the defendants are incorrectly joined in both charges, and, secondly, that the two charges are incorrectly joined at the one trial.

THE JUNGE ANVOCATE: I do not want to interrupt you, but you asked me whether this is the right time to make the application. You know what the Hoyal Warrant says, do you?

CAPT. PHILLIPS: I on fairly familiar with it.

THE JUNGE ADVOCATE: The one I would draw your attention to is 8(2): "In any such case all or my members of any such unit or group may be charged as tried jointly in respect of any such war orime" - and these are the material words which I would ask you to put your legal argument to - " and no application by any of them to be tried separately shall be allowed by the Court". charged and

OAPT. PHILLIPS: I am aware of that provision and have a submission tomke on the subject.

THE JUDGE ADVOCATE: The Court will hear you on anything you wish to put

parts, and does, first of all with the joinder in this case of the two charges, that is to say charge one and charge two which deal respectively with what happened at he became and what happened at Auschwits. In our submission - and I speak for all of them on this point - the joinder of these two charges is bad and unaffected by Regulation 3(2) ands under the Royal sarrant. That regulation says that "in any such case they may the charged and tried jointly in respect of any such sare they may the Gourt. Putting it briefly and not at the moment going into det ils, the submission of the defence here is this is not an application to be tried separately shall be allowed by two, Relsen and Auschwitz, should be heard separately, preferably by two separate courts. That is an application that the two charges, one shall be application.

On the face of it, in our submission - and I am dealing at the moment with the people who are concerned only in the first charge - there are really two types of people in this case, those charged on the first charge, and those charged on both charges. From the point of view of those accused who feature only in the first charge, those who feature only in the Belsen charge, it would on the face of it, other things being equal, appear wrong that they should be tried on that charge at the same time as the other people who are charged on both charges, or being tried on the two charges. The reason being that a man who is charged with having been at Belsen only will during the course of that trial hear evidence given of that happened at Auschwitz, which can in no possible way be evidence against him; in other words, he is going to be prejudiced straight away. I do not know whether I have made that point clear to the court.

In our subsission the parallel to that case would be this, suppose you had a charged, we will say, with obtaining money by false pretences, and helso charged with obtaining money by false pretences—
if you like in the same term, if you like on the wase day, but two quite separate transactions, no composition between them, no joint action, it would, in our subsistion, be wrong that those two people should be tried togother at the same time on those two separate offences, inwantab as in the eyes of a jury or court the evidence against b would tend to the prejudice of A, and vice varse. We therefore subsit that here, on the face of it, there is no justification in joining the two charges, between at Auschritz, in the case of those who were only at belsen and were never at Auschritz, because between the two, belsen and suschritz, there is no nexts or consection at all, they merely have this in camen, that they are both consentration cames, or were both consentration cames in claud, but they were consentration cames and have that amount in common does not justify a jointer of the charges and have that amount in common does not justify a jointer of the charges.

10

In our submission there is no commention between the two charges other than the slight similarity that they both relate to matter in concentration despe, and my point is that in the absence of special provision that would be obviously no justification for the two charges to be joined together. The question therefore arises; is there in this case any special provision which does justify what would otherwise be impropered and the answer, in our submission, is that there are only two possible heads under which this may be justified, namely Regulation d, which has already been referred to by the learned Judge Advocate, and hade of Procedure 16.

P |09 caps. PHILLIPS: It is applied in substance by Rule of Procedure 109.

CAPT. HILLIPS: No. Mr. the encembers to it goes on to deal with the joining of charges rather than the defendants. THE JEECE ADVOCATE: Is not make of Procedure 16 Sealing with the assured being tried separately and not with the separation of the offenses?

THE JUDGE ADVOCATES. Is not it hade of Procedure 64 you are on really

CAPT. PRIMARES: I am coming to that in a moment. In our submission, that the moment with those who are indicted on both charges. I am dealing only at the moment with those who are concerned on the first charge. Bule of Procedure 16, which provides for joint trials, reads as follows, in so far as it is material: "Any number of socused porsons may be charged jointly and tried together for an offence alleged to have been conditted by them collectively; where so charged any one or more of such persons may at the same there is charged and tried for any other offence alleged to have been committed by him or them individually or collectively, provided that all the said offences are founded on the same facts or are part of a series of offences of the same or a similar character." I will read the material said offences of the same or a similar character."

Dommunded when 2P16 In our submission, that rule does not in this case justify a joindar of the two charges, and we say that while there is a certain similarity between the subject matter of the two charges, that they are to a certain extent of a similar character, there is between them nothing in the nature of a series; all they have in common is this very slight surface similarity of their both being concentration camps administered by Germans.

a parallel, if I can draw one, would be if seve at persons were indicted jointly for fraud, we will say obtaining noney by false pretences for example, committed if you like in London. If you were to apply this hule of Procedure it would justify joining to that charge another charge alleging that one of those co-defendants had by himself committed a fraud, also obtaining money by false pretences, of a very similar type and having some connection with the main body of the transaction, to which the others happened in this case not to be parties. But, in my submission, it would be improper in such a case to join a separate charge of obtaining money by false pretences if the facts were in that case that the fraud was worked by one of the co-defendants, but at a different place, about a different subject matter and one having no connection in any way with those with which he was indicted jointly.

The situation here is exactly the same. These accused on whose behalf I am speaking at the moment were never at Auschritz, they never had any connection with the place at all, and if they are to be tried at the same time as the others who were at Auschitz they will be undeniably prejudiced by the volume of evidence about Auschwitz which will be admitted and which will be irrelevant in their case. That is all I have to say on the subject of the applicability of Rule of Procedure in this case.

I would like to deal briefly with Regulation 8. The copy we have been provided with has been re-numbered Section 1.2, but it seems to be the same in substance - at any rate, as far as the words go. It says: "where there is evidence that the war crime has been the result of concerted action upon the part of a unit or group of men" - I will pause there for a moment. That does imply that there is such prime facie evidence arising out of the susmary of evidence or its equivalent as will justify the court in coming to the conclusion that there has been concerted action. Not in the particular case of which I am speaking at the someont, these accused never were at Auschwitz. How then can they be said to have formed part of a unit or a group, or to have taken part in any concerted action when, in fact, they were never there. But that is rather by the way, because the final paragraph to that rule reads "and they may be charged and tried jointly in respect of any such war orise and no application by any of them to be tried separately shall be allowed by the court." As I have already said, this is not an application by them to be tried separately. I will make that application later. This is an application that the two charges should be heard separately.

That is all I have to say on the subject of the separation of the charges from the point of view and on behalf of those who were only at Belsen. But the same application is made on behalf of those who feature in both charges. Of course, from a practicable point of

charges to be heard reparately

where it will be sufficient if you find on either ground, because that will have the effoot of separating the two charges, but I think it proper just to indicate the grounds on which these charged on both charges make the same application. They rely on Rule of Procedure 100, which is the relevant one dealing with the charges in field general courts—cartial. That Rule of Procedure reads as follows: "The statement of offence may be made briefly in any language of Rar" — this is as amended by the Regulation of the laws and Usages cheet shall be recessary, but the convening officer may reverted against an accused; or the accused, before pleading, may apply to be tried separately on any one or more of anth charges on the ground that he will be enharmased in his defence if not so tried separately, and the court shall accede to his defence if not so tried separately, and the court shall accede to his defence if not so tried separately, and

That is the ground on which those concerned in both charges not apply for the charges to be dealt with separately. I would just read again the material paragraph: for the accused before plending may apply to be tried separately on any one or more of such charges on the ground that he will be enlarguaged in his defence if not so tried separately, and the court shall accoused to his application unless they think it to be unresemble. It is the right of these accused to object on the ground that they are going to be enhanced in the sharmon why they are going to be enhanced in this case they are no being they are going to be enhanced in the sharmon with the been provided, although I have not counted then up at least 50% and provided, although I have not counted the sharmon a man who is standing his wish wish on allegation or relate to counted to be about what he is alleged to have done and principly what others are alleged to have done in a standingly what others are alleged to have done in the same trief which it is almost impossible for them to make, to heep in two sater-tight compartments these two bodies of evidence and to prevent themselves being prejudiced on one charge by the oridence on another.

chifferent. At Auschwitz the conditions at the two cames were vestly different. At Auschwitz there was or is alleged to have been one of these gas changers or extermination plans which is alleged to have operated on a very considerable souls. There is no such alleged to have operated on a very considerable souls. There is no such alleged to have prosecution's case will be, but if they are going to accuse those people for man in charge of number two conditions is they are going to accuse these people were going that the furnace that is obviously going to be a very important point for the defence. The fact that the conditions at the two comps were extremely different and the application of what may prove to be the law to the facts in those two different cases is going to nake it very difficult for the court and for the defence to separate the

Perhaps I may non up what I have made so for. The sooneed, all of then, object to the Jainter of the two charges. These the appear in the first charge only object on the grounds that the joinder does not acceptly with the 16. These who feature in both charges object on the greents that the joinder does not comply with 22 108. Finally, both classes - those who farrang in the first charge and those who forture in both - may that Seppletion 8 of the Royal Format has no application to this case, to this part of this case, that is the joinder of the charges.

that is all I have to say on the joinder of the charges. I do not know whether you wish so to many standals on with the point of the jointer of the defendants or dorther the court would wish to deal neparabely with those patient.

the Just spirit his the new you agree that the presecution ere setting out in the first charps a scart of our order countited by a group of paramet

Omet. MCDIZDELL at Belson.

the JEXE (IVIXIII: Yes. then they put a second charge alleging enother next of group wer codes at Assainstin. I can unimetend your arguing that this court should not try what happened at Balloon at the same time ou summission, but where he your maderaty for stating that if these groups are properly classed organic finality those groups can robe any regularition to be trian out of the groups

Court. MEDITO: That is not my extransion at the mount. I am adding that the tree groups should be total agreements. That is my present explication. I may that the first charge should be tried and disposed of end at a later dats charge two should be tried and disposed of.

the minis silversible You work to sever the charges and have then tried **国的国际政策的企业实**验

Court. PECCALULATE More.

the ABOR ARCHARD: North you like the presenter to ediress us on that point now or would you peaker to put your whole argument at once?

Police of the control of the control of the first the charges should be taken together or especially, by friend augment that they do not come at the line int on the facts. By friend in made the disappear of the not come at the line int on the facts. By friend in made to disappear of the not come at the line mays these are the control in made. taken together or estamately, of irland augments that they do not come at this less but on the forth. My firsted in sugary that those are not shaller offences. in the victims, and in realy cases there is no difference in the victims because you will find that every one of the editorses called in respect of Amendments was also in fact an investor of Bellom at a later stage. The allegation of the presention is that these two cases are a certification of a series teacher as there persons the two of Amsterits are emperad. Rech of the persons, with the me exception, I think, of terests, our free Archeits to Below. The ollargion of the presention is that first of all at Auschwitz they in-treated a body of persons and that they then ment to Belson, where they continued with the ill-treatment of that body of persons. The prosecution say that one

offence is precisely the same as the other. The individual satisfies of illtreatment sometimes varied because, in the submission of the pronomition, every
known method of ill-treatment was used at one or other of these codes. Revertheless, the same people were noting as conventration comp guards in one and went to
the other and sated as concentration comp guards there. They ill-treated people,
and literally the same people at auscinate as the people they ill-treated at
Belson. Of course, at Belson they found a lot of new people but there were also
there the ones who had come from auscinate. All the witnesses with regard to
auschwitz were found in Belson.

I say these cases are exactly similar. They are part of a series of stailar offences and are properly joined together on the same charge sheet. I will go further than that; I will say that if the court decide to separate these two charges I will apply to give the evidence in respect of associates on the Bolson charge. I would say that already none of the accused have indicated their defence in relation to Belson and that is virtually a defence of socident. Some of the accused have in fact add: "We realise that conditions here were appalling but we could not help it". I should therefore ask, if necessary, to give evidence that the conditions which these same people created commuters class were equally appalling and that they merely carried on with a series of similar offences.

Under those circumstances, in sy submission the charges clearly should be joined on one charge sheet. My friend has rather put it to the court that if there were two frames committed in different places they would not be joined. That, of course, is not so. The manual practice at the criminal bar where a group of people are indicted with a joint charge and some of those people have consisted separate offences of a minister manue is to try then together. This mendeent which was made in 1964, was intended to bring the Hillitory Hules of recedure into line with the rules of procedure in ordinary criminal courts in England.

It is my subdiscion that there the charges abould be tried together. I say that will mean no unnecessary enharmsment to the defence because we abould apply to bring forward all the endence whether the charges were tried separately or together.

with regard to the question of the joint trial of individual persons, I want to make it quite clear from the catset that the prosecution in this case

That is the allegation in the charge and it is intended to be the ellegation in the charge. Individual atractice consisted by individual persons are put forward to show that they were taking part in and acquiescing in the system which a group were carrying on. I would even go no far as to say that they are a unit - not if we were to consider it in the atrict sense of whether they were entitled to a Hd and unit funds. They were, bowever, acting in common under a consending officer, kramer, who was the consendent of that camp. All the accused were either members of his story or interness who had been given authority by him. I say they are definitely a group and indeed, in the sense of this rule, a unit. As such, in respect of each charge the court cannot take

note of an application to try persons maded in that particular charge separately. I agree that does not apply to the question of splitting the charges, which is a separate application. I may they should not be split; they are plainly part of a series of similar cases and that they come directly within the commissent which was applicably made for this purpose in cases of this nature.

1000 became that specify his obtained

aunit

The JUNES APPLICATES. What about the was secured who does not seem to have tessen part in the system, because he was not shore?

onl. BACKEREE That particular recovered was, of course, a manner of the group at Ausdralia and theorethere must be brief with the other members of the group there. It is a joint and mention the trust awage. By friend's argument parisage does not apply in relation to that every ide objection is that the people who were at Belson should have ordered given of happenings at amount to the people the the in fact at amounts. Or that is the allegation of the prosecution, the is a member of the amounts, group who all not come on, so far an I know, to Belson.

The JUNE ADVISOR. You are mind thing that she will not be emberrant

Col. BUZIEUE: Two; who must be tried with the other ausdralts people and it olerally case of enbarrance has to bear about Delega.

The JUNE ADVICATE: Capt. Million, do you went to reply? You have a right

capt. Willitts There are bre points related by the prescenter with which I should like to deal. In my nutralession, in dealing with thin question he has dealt with it exclusively, almost, from the point of view of the victims. It is not dealed that many of the popule who were at believe had proviously been at maderite, but what of it? That we are concerned with here is the section, therefore, can they have with what has been suggested by the prosecutor to be a series of cases in wideh they all had some common interest. Belse according to be retrieved in Belsen — this, is think, is no matter of then did not even arrive in Belsen — this, I think, is no matter of dispute — until retrievely, and some of them even as late so world of this pour. Of those are the people who it is said took part or my fitty be tried as having taken part in what is called a section of offerces. I do not deay for one cases that the called a section of offerces. I do not deay for one cases that the called a section of a section. BRILLIDA - TOPO

long. You have had the Law, such as it is, just very fairly and projectly before you. The application ands to you can, I widne, be measurised in this it would be embersusing and that it would be improved by the endagement to getter which rainten to those two charges and if you were to take evidence together which rainten to those two charges and if you have the charge and if you were to take the second of the lawyer, there is an application to agree these charges, that is to say, that this court should first of all seal with the first charge and that the first charge, about standards that firstling on the first charge, should proceed to deal with the second charge. That is entirely a matter for you. You have had your attention directed to all the relevant matters. I propose to say you, when we have been court deal with the second court to address the court deal with this section. I propose to say you, when we have become the court deal with this section of the second court to add consider this application on the second to set, to show you another rount which is a different point combistoly?

nould be better to leave that until the court has decided on this point. (At 1210 bears the court is closed).

(At 1215 hours the caset re-opens

(The abouted are again bring it before the Court)

DESTROYARY RECEIVED BILL indebted to you for a very elear and organt argument and they have understood it very thereughly. They have extendily considered it, but they ame to say that they over-rule this application and they will not sever the first charge from the second. That being so, the court will not listen to application to application to application.

on behalf of all the accused and all the descending officers. In apport of an application to sever the descendants as opered to the cisage I speak in the first instance personally with the approval and in support of all the accused and with the approval of all the descending officers. Indicate the cisage I shall disconstically it may be possible — obviously it would be imprestically it may be possible — obviously it would be impresticable — to have to separate trials in this case, nevertheless than I have finished dealing generally with what we consider to be the points at issue, those defending officers who that to aske a specific application for a separate trial will address the court, if that course appoints seems convenient to your

The JULY ADRICATED Ten-

copt. Hillies: Enring regard to cour decision on the question of newgring the trials, the question of whether the defendants, the someof in this dear, are correctly bised together, in our saturdation depends upon the interpretation which the Court gives to equilation 8 of the Royal sarrant or the Regulations made under the Royal Serrant, and I will comfine my attention to that Regulation.

the first paragraph or of the first shore lines of the first paragraph or of the first shore lines of the first paragraph, which says: "Shere there is ordinance that a mor ordina has been the result then it goes on to provide they have see the jointly, and so on.

bas been tried so it must mean "there there in orderne on the face of the matter that or that the cause has been the entire that the cause the result of concerted action against a unit or group." I do not propose to deal with the question of unit or "Concerted". "Concerted" but to concentrate by attention upon this word in satisfied on prima facts evidence of concerted action there is no reason why it should not been an application for a separate trial. July -

The word "Concert" according to the little Carry Dictionary means to plan, to presentiate, or to contrive, all of which words clearly imply a certain securit of common intention or common action between various people to contrive, to presentiate and to plan.

That evidence is there evaliable at tide stage to the Court - and it is only upon such evidence that they out sot - that what happened at Release and what happened at Ausderitz was the result of planting contrivence or pre-arrengement?

In our admission there is no man marked out evidence, and so an example and in support of that contention I would point out what I have already said, that certain of the more used did not arrive at Holsen until April of 1940. You will remember the Liberation took place on the 15th, I think it was, of that worth. How then our these persons be sold to have been reaponatble or in particular to have taken part in a series of war crimes examitted at places where they never were - in the case of Ausobeits and in the case of Belsen at a place where they were only for a short period.

idulatedly many of them were there for this short period while these things were happening, but how one it be eath that they took part in concerted action, in action that was plasmed, contrived, or premeditated? In the substanton of the defence it is ridiculous to suggest that there is at this moment, whatever there may be later, available to the Court my evidence to suggest such concerted action.

It is admitted, of course, that the charge about alleges that these people when members of the staff of Balsen and responsible for the well-being of people there were together comparmed and so on as parties to the ill-treatment of certain much persons, but the point which I am only stend from the available depositions at this assent. If the court is not satisfied that there is now evidence of concerted action it is entitled to hear from individual sounced an application to be tried separately on the grounds either that they will be embarroused in their on the grounds that they wish to call for their own defence some of these people who stend accused with them here to apply and who would otherwise not be available as a vitness for the Service mount possibly upon crosssaiding is that the court is entitled to look behind the wording of the

terms I will leave the matter now, with your passission, to those descending officers who wish to make individual spull actions on behalf of their secused for a separate trial.

Hajor INCO: I wish to make an application at behalf of one of the accused, Joseph Fremer, on the ground that I wish to call a vest majority and possibly the whole of the other accused as witnesses in his defence, and if I am not allowed a separate trial I will be estopped from doing that.

Major MUMMO: I appear for the accused Hose 5, 6, 7 and 8. I am not concerned na others are concerned with making an application for a separate trial as I do not think that they particularly would be prejudiced by it, but on the other hand I am concerned to support any such application on the other ground because there are at least two of those accused than I represent who are required to give evidence for other accused and as I connot at this stage say whether or not I am going to put my own accused into the witness-box the other defending officers carrot maw now whether or not they will be available.

Major CRUTOTELD: I do not wish to add snything to what Capt. Fidling has already said.

" defend

List. I wish to spelly for a separate brief for his under School start in the State State of Two other School of Two other scened persons will be saterial to his defence. In stidence of two other scened persons is contained in one statement, and accupes the State statement alleges that schoolids was a meshad of the State and that he was at belief concentration cause. I shall seek to establish firstly that he was not and never was a seminar of the State and that he was at belief of the State and specially, that he was never in or on the staff of the Salaem a seminar to detail, scorelly, that he was never in or on the staff of the Salaem a seminar to one the staff.

In order that I may do tale it is comential that I shall call at least two other accured persons to correspond this own evidence.

-

- Capt. BELIEF Calesson and Karl Hyperstorf. In respect of Mathem Cura, Mirts Madden, Other Separate trial on similar grounds to Capt. Somewhile request; in respect of the accused Calesson I work for a separate trial on similar grounds to that and on the ground that that has arrived in Belsen cusp on the 12th April which was only five days before the owns was liberated; and in respect of the accused Calesson I apply on airdiar grounds, that he arrived in Belsen on or about the Sta april on airdiar grounds, that he arrived in Belsen on or about the Sta april on airdiar grounds, that he arrived in Belsen on or about the Sta april on airdiar grounds, that he arrived in Belsen on or about the Sta april. 1945, a satter of only seven days before the camp was liberated.
- Capt. Pluish: I as the defamiling officer for walter (tto, archer sinchen and Frank Stofel, and I seeks an emplication for a apparate trial in respect these three was substantially on the same grounds that have been just forward by the other defending officers.
- Days. Companies in represent the ancresed home. 26, 27, 25 and 29 Schreiter, bor, Harach and colded. I two when to make an application for a apparent camp in the javand that all of those four accused only joined Holsen considerably projected by the liberation and that they would be considerably projected if there he going to be heard against them wildene conserving other orders and which was accusived at Holsen before they arrived. I subsite also that there he parent parent had a Holsen before whatever of conserved method on their parent.
- Porster and Cylis, and for the rouses continuelying, The porster, ide before you I what to apply for a separate think have already been placed before you I what to apply for a separate that on the behalf of ignate 1945. I what to apply for a separate \$14 for the Porster on the ground that the or the other anamed who are arraigned before you are material at the see for the defence. Place the accused are Middened are Middened and Charlotte Midden. I what also to sake for a separate trial for their on the ground that she arrived at Selsen on the 13th April.

Capt Million I have so individual apocial application to much

Fille indexit. I make application for a squarate trial for each of those first on the ground that there is no prime facin evidence of their taking part in say conserved sotion, so that they will be projekted by evidence of smother accused, and secondly, in each case the evidence of smother accused is material to their defence.

- Ongh. Milditto: I neder applicantion with regard to one of agracused.
 Mildepur Manel. My defence in this case is an elibi and in order
 to establish that I super only one of the other accused Wiseboth
 Voluments, No. 7, who is essential to my case.
- It. Attended Total I represent named No. 48, 47, 46, 31, 20 and 32. If these als accurate three have been to associate and three have been to associate and three have been to associate. If these three two have never had any postition in the case of I apply for a negarate trial because they would be projeticed if they did not get it. If these three the have been only at belsen two have to ask for mother accused to be a witness, and not only are those amounted enterial witnesses but they meen to be the only witnesses I can get held of to prove
- the Alles ANODARS: Does that complete everything the defening of linear wish to say? (a lyake) Cal. Backbouse, do you wish to say mything?
- Col. Harmiten of course this application I think in origin seems to be parting a little mined of the heat one and this application in parely and simply for aspectate tricks in respect of separate persons. As I make it clear, I hope, the case for the prospection is that this is a joint observe, a joint charge of creating had conditions at American in respect of the first charges. There are no opening details contained in the charge but it was ill-treatment which caused the death of a number of people and the cause of matering to a large number of other people.

The case for the presentation is pulse a definite one, it is that the people cross-ad our all members of an expensation, that they served water a joint becker and that their actions are common that each one of the parassa in the cock has taken part in those crualties. I sak the deposit to say that from the evidence on the depositions - without pring into details against this accused or that accused - there is expla evidence, price facile evidence, provided it is accupted, on which the Court can drew the ever-delicing inference that this was a correspondent by all these people. It cannot be coincidence that at five different cooldoness people are shot; it carnot be coincidence that at five different cooldoness people are shot; it carnot be coincidence that at five different cooldoness people are shot; it carnot be coincidence that at five different cooldoness people are shot; it carnot be coincidence that at five different cooldoness people are shot; it carnot be coincidence that at five different cooldoness people are shot; it carnot be coincidence that at five different cooldoness people are shot; it carnot be conscidence that at five different cooldoness people are shot; it carnot be conscidence that at five different cooldoness.

If nonemary, if it is suggested that people were only at holast for two days, I would be prepared to show by evidence that these people did the same thing at other places before they get there end that they same all taking part in this concerted action of ill-treating those intermeds. I say that there is saple evidence on the accessy to show that that is see

I do not want to go plantagh each of these cases in turn. I ask the Court to regard the explication as a whole as being one which must fall on the pround that there is suple evidence on the survey that there was especially action by those people at analysis and at helsen.

the Australian the only thing that troubles so, Col. Decknown, is how do we interpret imagraph 8(2). There there is evidence that a var orizo has

faire abident constant

been the result of concerted action". There do we look for that

- Col. Backlation: I think it about be: "where it is charged". I think it has obviously to be "where it is charged" because you are not in possession of evidence, but is it down may evidence the only way it can be done in the evidence which is hereof you in the number of evidence of the abstract which has been supplied to you.
- The JUDGE ADVIGAGE: I find it a little difficult to direct the Court as to where they are to find this evidence.
- Col. hackly All: The proper and only time to make this application is before any evidence is called before this Court. It can only be a quention and I think my friends agree of taking it as being the prime facto evidence which is present in the abstract supplied to the Court.
- The JUDGE ANVOCATE: It looks to me as if you must read it "where a charge sheet alleges --- " whether it be an abstract or suspany on which the charge is based, and that there is prime facin evidence in the suspany.
- Col. BACCHEUR: I think that was diviously the way it should be read. I think that is agreed?

Capt. Milialis: Yes.

The JERR ADVOCATE: Peere you snything class you want to say?

col. Backlet: No. If you agree that there was evidence of concerted action them, of course, this application must full because the Court have no lower to order a separate trial.

The JIROS AWCCATE: Does my defending officer wish to add mything?

- Major HARRINI If it is the case, as the presentation allege, that the prime facts evidence required under Rule 3(2) is the evidence in the abstract than the Court must be estimised that that evidence in the abstract is so good as to favour the case against separating the trials to the detriment of one someod whose defence is going to be completely everywhelmed by the result in that he will not be evic to call mitnesses for his defence at all. Therefore before you cover to such a conclusion the abstract must be very executily considered by the lasers for them to estimit themselves there is indeed such evidence of executed action.
- Capt. Philipped: I would like to add one vary short word. I think there is a substantial amount of agreement between symelf and Col. Becarage on this point, that the prima facie evidence must come from a reading of the abstract in the case, and I would ask the Court to read the abstract corefully in their consideration. As my last word I would like to read the Court of the definition which I have given them of concerted, namely to presentate, to plan and to contrive. I do submit that if the Court means that before them when deciding this submission they should come to the conclusion that there was no concerted action.

a Mittle more difficulty for the Court in this application than the last one. It arises rather cut of the worting of the Reyal Courts.

There is no doubt that at this stage of the proceedings there is really so evidence before you usen which you can judge, and I suppose it sust have been intended that the documents which were now existing would be put before you, that is to say, the charge sheet, I prosume, and the shattest. You have to look at those documents and if you say as a Court: clearly we think it cases ad thin the group or the write, then I suppose we say we have no right in this Court to hear an appliantion to sever in the case of the accused.

And Desired

It is for you to decide short is the securing of this loyal from Captain Millips and what says to be a very belocked argument to what the Royal sectors is anylog, or what it intends to say. That being right, the question you have to consider when you close is whether or not you while is an alleged war orine which has been the result of conserved section on the part of a unit or group of pursons. If you are antheried that it is so and breat it as such, then you must refuse this application.

On the other head, if you say: No, it does not come within that sub-section, then you say consider the application on its

confort - if you decide to reject this application - that presumbly sense of these accused, if they want to call them will be giving evidence these solves in their own case, and if that is so there will be an opportunity no doubt to ask my questions they went to put. If course, they cannot do that if the witnesses are not called ano they want.

That seems to be the simple issue you have to consider, and i suggest again you will alone the court and consider this substration and decide what you are paing to do.

which has been put before it. The court will re-open at 14,50 hours and the deciation on this application will sheen be enveroped.

(At 1253 hours the Court to closed)
(At 1430 hours the Court re-spens)
(The sourced are again berought before the Court).

Lecusion but they equally apply to your sulleagues who addressed arguments to you, the Court. The Court have cauddored the arguments and they feel that these are enses which do full within Regulation 3(2) and that they are therefore bound to comply with the Regulation. That being so they must refuse the application in your onse and in the ones of all the others. That means that no secured will be bried separately so far as this trial is concerned. There are no further applications are this still a tagge of the proceedings? (The accused are saked to pleasants the first charge and severally plead not guilty).

THE JERGE ADVOCATE: Has any one of the necessed pleaded in a way which is different to what the Defending Officers canted them to plead. Have they pleaded contrary to what the Defending Officers expected?

(No comment was made by the Defending Officers).

THE JUNE ADVIDANCE: There is a second charge and I propose now to arraign the accused.

(The socused were duly arraigned upon the assemble charge, and these concerned all pleaded no guilty).

of the Duke of Corneall's Light Infantry, 5th Sattelion, the Charge sheet is endorsed: To be tried by a Military Court, and is signed by the Commander, 30 Corps.

I take it that in the provious appliestion you included any question of applying for an adjournment, so I do not propose to put that to you again.

(There being a shorthend writer employed, the Court decide it in unnecessary to couply with Rule of Procedure 75 (8)).

THE JUDGE ADVOCATE: Are you ready to hear Colonel Backhouse open the case?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

that Captain Stemart of the Legal Staff, N.J., B.A.D.Z., who is annuating me here, may be allowed to remain in Court. He is only a fermal witness to produce certain decuments.

LECALCHE JUDGE ADVOCATES In there any objection by any perending officer to

(No objection was taken).

THE MESIBURY: Captain Stewart may remain.

twice, and I am afraid repeated in three languages, each of the charges in this case are that when the accused were members of the stail of one or other of these two concentration camps, and as such responsible for the well being of the priconcer interned there, in violation of the law and Danger of War they were together concerned as parties to the ill-treatment of certain of the parsons interned in the camp, and by that ill-treatment they coused the death of some and they caused physical suffering to others.

think I should shortly not before the Court the grounds on which we claim jurisdiction to try these charges to been that claim on which we intermational law as set out in the laws and Dagges of her is the laws and Dagges of her is the laws and Dagges of her is the laws that by the laws and Dagges of her any person countries or alleged to have consisted a war oring may properly be dealt with by a military Court or such Court as the belligarent may determine.

found in way order II of 13.3 setting out: "obsread we does it appointed to make provision for the trial and punishment of violation of the law and language of ar consisted during any wer in which we have been at may be engaged at any time after the had bey of deptember, 1939, our clil and pleasure is that the custody, trial and punishment of process charged with and violations of the laws and beages of the as aforested whall be governed by the deputations attached to this our servers. Togelation I of that bereast provides: The following persons shall have prove to consense it listery Courts for the trial of persons charged with lawing consisted as delection and includes inter alle any officer authorized to be do under the persons, which are not not in the provious paragraph.

The Repai errent has been directed to the Community-in-Chaef Dritish tray of the Thine, and he in turn has given a delegated terrent to the Comeral Officer Communiting 30 Compa, and it is by his versant that the Court is communed today.

End the Prosecution say that the acts out in the charges are unloabtedly ser crimes, if they are greed, because the paragral intermed in both Associative and Be a sere, assemble officers - we are not, of cause concerned in this trie algorithms by Common against their of Illied retionals. You will not their were a greet for - you will hear that once of their were in fact princers of war - or they were persons she had been deported from commond countries as persons who had been intermed in the ardinary say. They were all persons the had been placed there without a trial, either because of their refuel to tack for the enemy, or merely countries they were princers of war who had been placed there without a trial, either because of their refuel to tack for the enemy, or merely occurse they were princers of war who is tack for the enemy, or merely occurse they were princers of war who is the thresh reject conveniently be used to such places or externize bed

The Lass and Sacres of war provide for the proper treatment not only of prisoners of the but of the civilian citisens of the actions of are occupied by a belligorout. I as not joing to dwell upon the coper treatment of arisoners of war, that is surely within the military approper treatment for a prisoner of ear is. Everyone last the shall tree control be started, he cannot be started, he cannot be besten, he cannot be arbitrarily punished, by cannot be billed, and none of shore things, in any event, can hap an to her without proper trial.

Do far as the inhabitants of compled territories are emeared, who are the great enjority of the vitnesses in this case, quoting again from the beneal of dilitery Law, Chapter La, puragraph 303, it mays: "It is the duty of the compant to one that the lives of inhabitants are respected, that their desertic passes and honour are not disturbed, that their religious convictions are not interfered eith, and generally that durest, unlessed and criminal attacks on their property, and falcaless actions to regards their property, are just as positionals as in since of passes.

46

again Chapter 14, paragraph 59(f): "Nomen Shall be treated with all consideration due to their sex", to quote from the Magus Convention of 1907, to which Commany was a algoritory: "Family benear and right, individual life and private preparty, as well as religious convictions and worship must be respected".

That is the Laws and Usagos of War in respect of the inhubitants of scoupled countries occupied by a bellipsecat.

Soution that reads: "The term 'War Orige' is the technical expression for such an act of entury soldiers and speny diviliant as may be visited by punishment or capture of the offendars".

remagraph 442 of the same Chapter reads as follows: "der Crimes may be divided into four different alesses", the first of such classes being violations of the recognised rules of parfore". In the following paragraph are set out the most important violations, the of which are ill-treatment of prisoners of our in occupied countries, and although, of course the words 'inhabitants in occupied countries' bre used, it's gates avious that they should are apparedly be extended to "all inhabitants of occupied countries who have been departed from their own exactery", the departation, in fact, being a further infringement.

Perhaps it is put most clearly in an article by Professor Princip, the present Professor of International Lew at Omized University: "most of the difficulty disappears if we imagine the sert of question which the Court will have to answer: Can this Milling which would necessally be marder, this injury which would necessally be unlawful wearning.

and of word If not it will be a war origer.

25.

Ante By III, the state of the

23

when the Court have heard as to what happered both at Belsen and at associate, the Prosecution will ask you to say that the treatment of the Allied Nationals in each of these coups was such as to lose you so look who to ever that a war ories had been consisted, that newdor had been consisted, for which these who you first responsible chould be positived as war crisinals within the jurisdiction of this Court.

The parameter the respection allage suffered these injuries, the were milled, who were ill-treated, case from ten different nationalities. Britain has respected the respectibility of this brief, because it is quite impossible to form a Court and to darry an a trial if all those nationalities are in fact represented, and as britain is the country which is controlling this some of Corvery, and which bolks those accused, britain in controlling this case of Corvery, and which bolks those accused, britain indications are trial Countries and which all those majoralities are represented, and charvers have been invited from each of the combilet who had detionals in this case.

There were not many british persons in that case. for will have two advantage of he ring one of then when I begin to call the evidence. He is only one who is call! elive of whom we are some. Then you have bread the cristonce the reasonation will ask you to say that you are satisfied that there was in each of these deeps a complete disregard for the paramilles est out in the legue Convention, a complete disregard for the principles est out in the legue Convention, a complete disregard which the inhabitants of an complet country should be treated and, in particular, the way in which some should be treated as complete disregard for the seasonably of later life and for brond suffering, and we shall ask you to say that that disregard was shared by each and every one of the according.

Bolsen, and the conditions of which you will have with report to insolve to be designed about not only by original neglect but that they were counsed be deliberate starvation and ill-traduces, with the relicious immidelys that that they must cause doubly that such starvation as occurred and such ill-treatment as occurred was bound to cause the death of many and to come lasting physical injury to many more.

in respect of eschedus I will go further and say that not only will the Presentian and you to say you are satisfied that it was done with the deliberate knowledge that the conditions would came death but that there was deliberate killing of the market of people and probably of millions of people, quite saliberate cold-klooded enterstration of millions of people in that camp, and that each of the exceed the was sarving at appointe and is charged in the second charge had their place in this joint endeavour in this group of persons who serve corrying out this policy of deliberate extension than.

In respect of Baloan, there will not be an ellegation that there was a gas charlor or that persons were harded by their thousands to their death, but there will be allegation that by the treatment that was given to the sen at Balaan, every member of the staff at Balaan she stants buffer you have their show it, that treatment which they know was country and sould continue to cause death and injury.

I shall not the Court to view the evidence as a whole and I shall not the Court to say that each that beer his compandability not only for the actions of his own head had for the actions of this original year sho there working together. Hereatheless, lest there should be the slightest shades of deach, no person has been brought be the shall not against when the Prospection will not produce sens evidence of personal acts of notive and deliberate according out, in many cases, individual marker. By all means if you were known aspectate acts aspectally you must, of course, when account to your resident deadle such individual case, the case a sinet cash individual account deviated account to been then in mind out only as individual acts, but as each of one of the appears of this proop, which is evidence not only against himself but appears of this proop, which is evidence not only against himself but against every of the one of the persons who were working in that case as part of that your one to the persons who were working in that case as part of that your one that part in this concerted illustrations.

First of all with the consistence which were found at Belson when that comp was over-run. You will hear that in the second week in April of this year there excived at 8 Days Bendquarters certain Cormen efficers using for a true, a local true, because they said we were shout to over-run a comp containing gass 60,000 princeers where they said typhus and other infectious discour was recently, and that the trues was necessary if typhus was not to spread throughout both the armies righting there. After contain negotiations a trues was agreed to. I do not think it is necessary to point the terms of that trues beyond asying it was agreed that the armies of the 1.8. The serve in charge of the days decreased the first true beyond asying it was agreed that the attainfurwation H.C.Os. and officers of the 1.8. The serve in charge of the days decreased the first the first the first through the cytics of cytics efter shift days of leaving and being teles. Surench the lines.

In the 15th April, at 5 o'clock in the efternoon a Captain Birgton, or likertenent Eduction as he than was, was the first Dritten officer to arrive at the carp. He arrived with a loud-speaker ven with a view to raking an emonacement, and he was followed chartly efter by likert. Colored Taylor who was Afficer Communiting 65 Anti-Sank Regiment with the carp. Her has harderies, maded in to take over the direction of the carp. Hery in turn were followed mean of torounds by a Major Birds who was at that these on the Beschmarters of 8 Corps and was lent for the parpore of maximising Lious. Colonel Taylor, and also by Brigadier Chyn-layhan, who was the D.D.B.B. of the Board Arry.

The account which I progress to give you of the conditions which were found there is virtually the ass of what these officers found. You appreciate, of course, although they were there on the first day they also cans back, and they did not that everything by any means on the first day.

without the most convenient may of describing it is to may that if you

First arrive you first see inquilibrat burrack blocks, beautiful theatre and heaptital and bountiful remans, but you find that that was the farmer first and bountiful remans, but you so about a relations a track from these and that is where you find that was belien consentration complit is remainly a billesseur and a hulf has and 300 to 350 estress wide. It was surremained by when and in that occasional there were about 60 wooden buts or thereabseries. That is of those were used for quarie and about 15 for the intermedia. It was apint a min into five occasions, asset from the determine. It was apint a min into five occasions. Surremain the farming the chimical value of the sea and two for the same and two for the miner. There were found in the man't compound approximately 12,000 case and in the transition respond 35,000 cases.

Her the chole of this one; there were five cookhouses, two for the case and two for the verse and one stands one. For water supply there were two or three constructs practs or tanks. Mituated about the comp there was a block of abdinistration offices, and in there there were 15 shower baths and four large district cours, which could have coped at the about 50 suits in one said a balf bours.

there were seen prince colle and a creatories, a small one, which had not been used for men little than. Each compound me superated from the others by wire and there were partl towers at the content. I had conditions which were found in that came were quite indexactbable; I know of an vertical his would began to point the picture. There was a dome more of constated sucrements, simply living skeletons, lying, sitting and shuffling about the place.

dich in given of that case by the resticul officer, they did not one it until a great charge had taken place. He says that after he had arrived not note his amountment that they may free, although the position was still this dirty collection of living skeletons, in rage shuffling about and not properly uninversable, hat was happening, he mays that was independently bottom than the caudition does he cent in there have they know they can free. He cannot find any words at all to begin to indicate the conditions. In the mone quarters in the first case typing was on the case; at had present its peak, but in the second may there were the case of the case of the case at the 5,000 people there, and it was still continuing.

In the "c. I mean and there were no hospital base at all. In the No. I weems' comp there were 25,000 weren and some 500 children. If those 2,000 were south hospital cases, but there were only 474 brakes around them all. There were 250 cases of typins. In the No. 2 weems, camp there were 5,000 weren; there was a hospital but, but it had no havin at all and there were 520 cases of typins not even segregated from the rescandor.

But fairly on the type of accommendation that one would normally expect, it may be each there were ten people in the space that could have been compiled properly by one, and that is even on the some arounded scale of accommentation which the training accepted an appeared to the accommentation which we markedly accept. In an exclinary but where we

would may there was pufficient room for 80 there were from 600 to 1,000 ownered in.

in the hate the living and the arring and the dood ware all together. You will hear an account of the conditions later from the only at limital two was there. Tying about the comp there were no less than 13,000 company unburied, and you will hear that for the last few days before the British ones into the case, when it was obvious to the coursel that before long the british would be there. There has been a continuous procession of these tradeshed scaletons, four of these to a compan, dwaring them for 12 hours a day; 2,000 cm dwaring corpuss for 12 hours a day, burying them in large pits. But there were still 13,000 company lying waiting for buries.

the condition of the corpose was something which cannot be implied. They were so this that you will ose it was easy for a wasen to carry one, but the living were in such a week and dreadful condition that it took four of them to dreg one, though a normal sen or were had not the alightest difficulty, as you will hear and see in earlying them saw.

There care virtually no hatches at all. There had been seen but the unter supply and not emitting and they care totally inadequate. There had been none pits with a pole career, the only provision for men and for mean, but in fact the intermeen ware too week to drag themselves to then end you will here that while this drawful procession of coupeas has tolding place they were not even allowed to go to the laterine. The result was there was considered all ever the place, because do not forget that according like 80 per cent or times people had dynamicy.

Note will hear that they were looked in their hute at night so ordered that it was quite impossible to his does even on the floor. They had to sit jurned to each others. Some of the stronger once and nere enterprising would get been't and put their across the refters and get up there. You will hear that now of those who did so had dysentry; quite impossible to some from baker them - you could not nove.

These two the condictors at might, and it is not to be condered at that when the redical authorities had time to check up properly on the persons in that damp they found this position, that of the 12,000 mm 2,222 more soutely ill; there were a further 7,000 requiring transformt, and there were 50 war cases a day. Of the 28,485 more found there 2,000 more soutely ill and 18,600 required treatment. There were 125 any cases a day.

In edition to the 13,000 corpora found lying there, within the next six weeks with all the core and attention which could be rushed to their assistance no less than 13,000 was died, and indeed in addition to that 13,000 there was still six towin later 11,000 in hospital and 54 died on that date I am taking - the 27th May, which is a arbitrary date - they were still dring turn.

The courses of death two mainly starvation and thirst and illtreatment, heating to death and wheeting, but the starvation was killing every person in that camp. If a sen did not die directly of starvation he was so resisted that he had no resistance whatsoever to disease. If he did not die of either he died of everyork or he died of the beating he received.

You will hear - taking a block at render - that in block 15 the everyor life of a run there was 12 days then arrival. The food position was much that you will hear that the ordinary retiens in a compentration corp, gutte apart from any positionation which may write, was a cap of west exacts online in the country; that was breakfast. Editory and constable of new turnip many with screetings a little broak. The evening used old not exist.

Now will have from the hadden who asked call - he was there for about eight or where dryn - that the men total of the food that he received during the first four days, for the whole four days, encurred to less than half a little of many and no bread; nothing else. The only water he received faring that four days was half a may full. During the last five days before the liberation he received nother food nor water, and he was being required, of the the other prisoners in the comps, to west in hours a day dragging corpose.

He will tell you although they had not the strength to move these they had to move fast; it was not really fast, but it seemed fast because the assent they faltered they seem beaten about the head with sticks, and as they passed various places if they faltered they were shot. There was degrand standing there and after this steerable chain had been round a time or two they realised that every seem she was a yard in frant was shot - there was a pile of corpose - the guard did not like these. They fall by the saysaile, and you will have that the was corpose.

I have already said there are no terris which will describe this compade enterprisely, but it is proposed to show to you a film which was taken when the British active ities count into the camp, and that will give you seem idea of the countitions of the camp, and I shall invite you to watch that film and to see for year-solves the degredation to which the house what can descend. Non will see the theresawis of corpuse lying about; you will see the condition of these bodies. Non will also see the well fed condition of the s.h. who term stationed there. You will see packle finitely for solve pith time in a small tend. That you will not use in that the mater was bodies in it, but that was all the same was awailable to drink - fishing with a tin on string out of one small tends. You will see the dead; you will see the living and you will not their figures dead; you will see

that the file carnet, of course, give you, is the eleminable small, the filth and squalar of the tdole place which stank to high hencem. If there is any one of the accused the suggests that he did not know that the conditions were, then you have seen the file if you will not let your dampination was, if you will serely draw the only possible informace, the Prosecution will not you to say that it is a

impelens lie for myone to magainst that he did not know what was hummering in that cree-

the history of believe insector as one knows it - and much of this has buil to be taken from abstance to make by Josef Armer - in that it term originally a stall coop, a transit com, but at the one of Revertise of last year dead transf, the had been in the concentention over new on throughout the ported of Must assend only, having joined as a volunteer in 1932, was called to Parline He had been the commander of a parties of desiration in Berlin he see the head of the concentration come service set he was told that Believe one to become a convalencent comp for mick persons from concentration comps, from factories and from forms, displaced persons from the whole of north-sect harops.

Person test told to go back to the energ, or go to the test as he had not been there yet, and he may be test to go and look at it may if he found my difficulties he was to write or report back.

He won't there and you will here that from the fet hecesher he mis the Consuminat of the cap, in sole during these were no stending orders from bording the adequistration of the every one left to him, and the prospection will cale you to may that he is primarily responsible for everything that her penal in that every-

He was needed by on officer in charge of editionintration, who I regret to not before the Court, by in afficur who was lower as the crisinal investigation officer, the is also not before the Court, by a doctor, by a dentist, or the rest of his staff, again from the Guard Consender the did not come directly under him, were Warrant Cfficers arbeit on this copy or marries where the distances by telling one of the their times and the pare south M.C. On. off the Balls the factor and a A. L. to a park to the money with on her face and former, such

en 14 cm - balet e pent at termine stape Contribute they had bedong the cale

Control the saids they have landed to the boke box of the way.

ethnose participal and establish the chapt but their towards seet on payments of the over the participal and payments are their the avent of the

the doctor than the company of the least the least the last contains the last contai

(In addition to that there were appointed wirturally prefects to the initial intermed.) You will have that the intermed in addition to the political intermed and inhibitual originals enought them which is hardly over but into committee that is a man becomes a political original, provided the doubt any action that is a man becomes a substant original, provided the doubt any actionly of origin, and he is contained to pand contained. Can at the cast of his contains he may be held for a partial in proventive detailing.

The still find, who generally made the particular or lagor-fulness one to each blood, one to each root, and, so a rule, one to each canada, and a rule, one to each canada, and they were very often on order and and against the manager the manager, but that in no way emerge the partie for their canada, in this case of the order to grants for their canada, in this case will find the way emerge to grants for their canada.

design of all, size of dying had to be dauged out and then the warming, its one was married at all, size of dying had to be dauged out and then they should be particularly being often they should for hours. There was particularly being beaten on the bend with a cities and very often beaten again on the ground and blacked on the ground and in many cases hall dead.

of every you must realise the condition of these people. It is one thing for a healthy sun to be otruck on the head with a pale and sidesed on the ground but it is a totally different thing for scenars in a had state to be beaten because with a alight push they will be down on the ground acceptance nower to get up again.

Production of the latterns of any of coffee wideh was followed by the to move at all many divided up into wanting learning or parties of collecting word, read regarder, tailor's reas orienties, footstorms, and so as their westing hours were from 6 and to be a the distormal of any to 6 and to 6 and to 6 and to 1 and 1

Luring the might they were looked in the late but if by may of the or one these in the manday and were look of themselve out on parade at two or these in the manday and were last there the reset of the might whilet they tried to find them.

of this regular and systematic beating. Every good corried a stick, a subser transform, or a wisp or a resultant. They used anything that came to their bands, iron bern, flats and fort, and as a rule bast them on the head and continued the condition beating after the ran had been knowled to the grant. Hen and warm alies were regularly billed by such beatings.

beginning to semable for hits of petato paul, little bits of shall, bits of small, bits of small, but of small, but of small, but of small, result were language round the bits of stall, but and get a small see been out of the small or try to get the peelings from the smaller. You will have again and again of beetings and shooting of such persons. They were driven to try and stand anything at all because they are starving.

The lly you will have that many of these superted to cornibalism, that they were driven to the length of carting flock from the dead bedies and cating it. Now will have from Mr to havillance, a British adject the was in the comp that when he was engaged in this misemable process of dragging many the compass as many as one in ten had a piece out from the thigh or some other part of the body, which had been taken and sates, and he new people notably doing it. That was the length to which they had been brought.

I next just to tell the Coast briefly about the experiences of ir is bruillesse. He was arrested in Jersey one day before beday. He was arrested had believed a hundre officer to compe. You will have from him that his sister had not returned the demany. He was put into various primons and concentration compound that he was put into various primons and concentration compound that he was here to lanchers by train and from lanchers he was taken by trust arriving at believe on the night of about the 5th hard, 1945. To arrived these sometimes about helf-past ten at night. You will hear that in a concentration casp you are not very sure of either the date or time because you have no belongings of your one. Anything which you try to have, even your posted handkoredders, is teken from you and you are besten for having them. You have no news; that is say you find for of the primeners have the news of others. You have a marker tattood on your are and you are known by that maken.

describe to you how he was offered our scap. He was posted to Mock to and he was affered some map in contemps for any digrettes or bread he had brought and envous who could not produce some digrettes or bread he had brought and envous who could not produce some digrettes or bread got no scap. In these put into Mock to and located in these with 600 others. The floor was not and absolutely indescribelity foul lawing been used as a latrice by people who make too week to nowe.

They were so erended that he had to alone sitting up becomes he could not lay down. They were kept locked in there during the night. Night or nine of them died that night and died every night he was there. The corpose, or course, were not to be taken out; they stayed there with the living.

At 3.30 in the manding he was called out and ancieted out by the wal beating. They went out on their miserable parade without onyde up to cat. > They were then kept standing at attention till eight
on in the manding. Anybody who noved was beaten. Some full
on they were beaten or bidded on the ground. If they could get
did but if not they key there.

The first day they did little. The next day they were dragged out again at an early hear and divided into four. They then set off in four groups ankied to drag the corpose and put the compose in the large bariel pits to begin with but they eventually piled the corpose up because there was newhere to hery them. They did that in order to clear up the comp before the british arrived.

0

B

Dony guard contried a stick or a piece of wood and the orderlies or block altestore, when I term the products, lined the read armed with sticks and rebor treatments and applicate the faltered was beaten, and for 12 solid hours that sinemble procession dropped itself round. Their only food was an ordinary heitish any mag less than a quarter full of termin way.

time they went round and you can imagine the dust as they dragged those corpose. They were not allowed to stop and they were not allowed to stop and they were not allowed to stop and they were not allowed to have a deink at all throughout the day. If they fultered a yard or so behind the sum in front they were beaten till they got up. That is how he spent the first four days and that is all he had to cet during those four days.

the last five days he had neither foodbar water. The one and only thing that hept him alive was the fact that he could hear the game and they felt if they could heep alive for one more day than possibly combody would came. All day you would hear shots going off about the camp and you would see the guards emeding themselves by shooting the interness for no apparent remon shiell. For the last three days the shots were more or less insistent.

Will be put to you, because it has already been indicated in statements used by trust and made by other of the sounced, that what was happening use that trumports full of people were coming in from other compa, that they were over-run and it was impossible to get food coding to the leitish having conclud up the transport. Trust says he did everything he could to try and provide food for these poor people, to try and provide mater for them and to see to their health and well-being.

You will hear legior Mirnie was arrived on the 15th April with delicated laylor. The same according he went off to a Medianacht cross which was about according to be read and those saw the quartermenture. Here will have that it where the food for the consentration composes from Server will tall you the reason he could not get food was because it case from Calle and Remover but it in fact case from the alternated comp. In that camp there was any ensure of food which could have been distributed to those people. There were at least 600 terms of potatoes, 122 terms of throad ment, 10 terms of augus, torm of positived with a mid flaur. According to will hear that there was a fully stocked belong in the minreality will hear that there was a fully stocked belong in the minreality with a terrific grain supply and capable of turning cut 60,000 leaves a day which it did invedictally afterwards and augustumed to do so with the same staff and from the same stock of grain.

You will hear that there were vest quantities of medical supplies which I think have not been exhausted yet. You will hear that in the administration blook in No. 1 comp there were about 100 wooden beens of timed milk and ment which was in the S.S. parters and narrow hard harperion. They were Red Gross parcels which had been sent to the Respection intermees by the Respection Red Gross and stelem by the S.S. panels.

sith regard to the unter supply you will hear that although the comp had been without water for supplying from three to five days and all that there was some three foul commete trains of unter with bodies in these. For will hear that as soon as sembody eterted to try and do secretidag within two days with the equipment which was already in that come and with no salition to it there was an adequate working water supply laid on to every kitchen, and that within five days with the assistance only of the local fire brigade there was a complete and proper water system running throughout the comp. To much for the about that this was a invalidant of organization due to war conditions. For will hear that there was nothing landing to provide full mater and sententian in that camp had anybody manted to do it at all.

That is all I went to tell you particularly about Belson. I do
not went to start going into details of individual cases now, but when
the immedigation began into the conditions it soon because guite obvious
that must of the intermess who were still alive had come from other
cases. As you will probably realise the life of an intermed in Belson was
not a long case. Nost of the intermess had come from other camps and a
considerable number came from husebadts. I therefore turn new to the
second charge concerning the conditions at husebalts camp.

You will hear that there were vest quantition of medical supplies which I think have not been exhausted yet. You will hear that in the administration block in No. 1 comp there were about 100 wooden beens of timed wilk and next which was in the S.S. marters and marked harparies. Shey were Red Gross papeals which had been sent to the Respecten interment by the Dangaries Red Gross and society the S.S. gazzie.

with regard to the voter supply you will hear that although the comp had been without water for anything from three to five days and all that there was were those foul connects tonic of mater with bedies in these. You will hear that as even as someway storted to tay and do sensitiving within two days with the equipment which was already in that comp and with no addition to it there was an adequate working water supply laid on to owney kitchen, and that within five days with the assistance only of the local fire brigade there was a complete and proper water system making throughout the comp. To much for the story that this was a breakdown of organization due to war conditions. For will hear that there was nothing lacking to provide full vater and senitation in that case had saybody mented to do it at all.

That is all I ment to tell you particularly about Belson. I do not went to start going into details of individual cases now, but when the investigation began into the conditions it soon became quite obvious that must of the intermess who wave still alive had come from other cases. As you will probably realise the life of an intermed in Belson was not a long one. Nost of the imperment had come from other cases and a considerable rember case from Ausdrafts. I therefore turn new to the general conditions at Ausdrafts which, of course, only relate to the second charge constraint the conditions at Ausdrafts which, of course, only relate to the second charge constraint the conditions at Ausdrafts which, or opened, only relate to the

Ausoration was short 50 miles 5.5.5. of Rechan in Poland, and amount states that he first west there in may 1940, when it was a small camp with about 5,000 or 4.000 people wanting there building the camp. They were then hiving in worden hade and were building stone coses. There was not grand at that the of 40 or 50 5.5. The banch rate was about 50 a work. It was to the rate was about 50 a work. It was to the rate was about 70 a work. It was 1945. Shan he returned in May 1944, Ausoladian had become the biggest commitmation camp in Many 5, and become a language that a major of the camp he was called a case or committed a land become the biggest committed on any in Many 5, and become a language. According to language he had 15,000 to 50,000 information in the pertion of the camp. There were 80 or 90 hate for man and 60 for verses, and there were 25 to 50 hospital hims. At short this time he says there were 450 to 60 deaths a work. That is his cases in all here that become and and cut on hard working purities; but there were sone incidents there was may such the man remains on I have described at belows; up durily in the maning, persons beat an first the slightest ecouse and and cut on hard working purities; but there were sone incidents where which one had not heard of at belown. You will hear that some of those is a manner that the fourties by having large bounds which they set upon persons, deliberately lot them tear the persons to phone - bits them and tour that all they died fact man can one of their assessments, but they reak purpose was the quite cold blooded decemmentation to extension to the war not fit to act as been to be compared to be a changing room there were three serve inches all remains on their died their cold of the serve were their clothes up correctly 20 garys, servers. The door was then being both. Then read they were taken to the man though there were five row of, appeared to be a changing room there were taken to the order ond, a two local of the remainded in the trolley and taken arranged

For this case anchor of every person until to serve the reich. There were accordent them selections, as they were called, were calle on arrival. The transports errived and before people were posted to their blocks aslections were made and the ald, cidliars, program were, week or side, or those showing signs of unfitzens, never went into the compattall, they were lossed on larmies and takes straight to the gas charlers, above they were scientifically numbered. Everyone in the companies about it. Everyone of the second the was at Ausobalts brew about it, and you will hear that the sajority of them took an active part in the calculation of victims for the gas charlers.

No give you seem instances of what happened an arrival, you will have that in November 1984 in one transport of thee people 1,000 never went into the camp, only 100 were accepted and the remainder were taken and graned straight away. Another transport come, and of 5,000 persons brought there 1,500 were gamed. You will hear that 45,000 Greek Jews were taken to that come, and nice they were evacuating the prison only 60 were left out of 45,000.

In addition to the selections when people arrived, there were held

the camp band playing while an included to want and people who had been able to come the camp band of the second with the seco

You will have an actual newspare of what the guesing was like the instance who was into the guesing was like the last market. You will have that the viction formed at the market, but these lasts, blad from their core, now and markly turned blue ord throlly tipe.

Tou will been that Kreen, No. 1, Elegan, No. 2, Hopenier, No. 5, Wilsolath Volkenswich, No. 7, Inc. Creec, No. 9, and Milde Labour, No. 11, all track an arthre part in the salections. If the court believes that evidence I shall ask the court to may that they are entirelied that every one of the geneth at Ausdanitz who is harogin before you was plaintly publicy of what is made sameon, and calibration mass market.

detect, unloss you wish me to do so, then the evidence against individual present. I prepare now to eath, first of all, therese to prevent the general states of the compatibilities. I prepare to fall of the compatibilities. I prepare to fall of the film of the so found there. Then it prepare to provide go charbens at assumption and then to go on to the evidence augusting each individual normal. There are only two further and things I about any.

the such alphabets, I did not have you sentilen actiliavita.

although this trial to by allitony british lon, order the regulations then have been contain although this trial to by allitony british lon, order the regulations then have been contain although the main in the long of orderse, for the dorders resource that if that were not so many people would be bound to company justice bounds or accepts of payments of uterpasses in this country, and

as you are seare affidavits may be put before the court.

Now in belarm there were some 40,000 people when we took ever, 20,000 women and 12,000 mem. Harry of those were hospital cases. A great many more were annious to go many as fast as they could. They open almost every language under the sun and the investigation of a case of this kind was tremendous, because schooly there was interested in investigation a war orize while there were still people whose lives were in danger, and everybody services were used, first of all, to try and move the lives of those poor unfortunates the ware still there. But was done was to take affiliavits as far as possible from unionesses, and you will have ment of the evidence by affidavits and those supplied by my of abstract. But a great many of those people disappeared: it was impossible for un or anybody to keep 10,000 people in check, and the result is there are not many still have. I will call all those available, and then I shall put the affidivite before the court and

that in order to keep the thing in some kind of company, and elect I would propose, subject to any objection from the defending efficient and advice from the learned dudge Advancts, is leaving dealt with the general swidered I would them put forward to you not a complete affidavit but to read to you the portions of affidavits grouped round andimityidaal person or incident. I would, of course, put in the affidavit the livet time I used that particular affidavit. Take one which deals with three different incidents relating to three different excused. I suggest I put that in when the first of the assumed is dealt with, read you the portion which relating to that particular advanced, and then go to the next affidavit relating to that particular advanced, and then go to the next affidavit relating to that particular advanced, and then go to the next affidavit relating to that particular advanced so you can keep the evidence with regard to any given someod in a reasonably chose excepts, if you will agree to that course.

come to me extremely landacemiliae and fair, but you will be beend if

(There was no objection from the deforming officers)

THE JEWIS ADVOCATE: The only thing I on not clear on is are you going to put in the affidevite before the mitnesses are celled?

I will put to affidevit in between a ultimone. It may be first brigadier objectingsen, and then put in an affidevit made by Col. Johnson, but that is a supplement to brigadier objectives evidence. I will, of occurse, try and call the live witnesses first.

There is one last thing I would like to do, and that is to virtually introduce the membed to you. They divide themselves into two classes, the first group are 2.3. who were at associates as well as Belson. The first own is Josef Rosser, who was convenient at associate and subsequently convenient at below. You will hear that he joined the 3.5. as a volunteer and has been a convenientian compand all his service at one camp or another, gradually gring up. It may be, but I do not sent to go in detail in opening, that I shall ask

to call evidence or put evidence before you of insidents which took place at other conscionation crops if the Defence permits in a suggestion that what beganed at Deless was accidental and was not part of an experient series of events. It my be I shall sek particular to take evidence of the whole of Eraper's curver. I tall not open that evidence.

No. 2 br. Alein, A immunion. Dr. Elein joined the S.S. voluntarily, he joined the Caffen in June, 1963. He was a recruiting doctor in Graces for a time and from Recember, 1963, emeric he has been in descentration camps. First of all he was at Auschmitz, and you will hear from witness after witness that he took part usually in the selection of victims for the gas chamber, and you will hear that he makes no secret of it, he admits it freely. He came to Releas in the middle of February, His own story is he was only in the camp three days before the British arrived. That, unfortunately, does not agree with the evidence of dibar witnesses, not even of the Commandent, French. For will hear he realised the conditions and realised what vice my homest people finding that camp would take, and he will tall you - makes he has already stated that he told knesser that the British on their arrival in they had any sense would put bisself and Eremer against the wall and shoot them.

The next, Weinjartner, was a block fulrer of one of the waters' camps at America. He had some 1,000 water under him. Then he got to Belsen he again became a block fulrer in Belsen. I sa deliberately not going in detail through what is alleged against him.

Ereft was an S.S. grand at Amphaits and again at Belson where he had got his broad and ration above.

Reselver was at Anadraits and there he was a lagorithmer, that really means the head S.S. can under the Communication in the camp. He joined the D.S. as a volunteer in January, 1945, became he was out of that had served in concentration comes the whole of the Naci regime. He was in charge of the wasses camp under Areser. You will hear that after he left Anadraits he want to meather camp called have, and from Dore he came to Release, where he became lagerfulner of No. 2 camp.

The next one, Borrann, was in charge of the electhing store first of all and later of the wanting parties at Auscheits, and you will bear free witness after witness has she took part both in the auscusome of setting days on women and in the selections for the gas chusher. You will hear that when she came to Belsen she was in charge of the pig sty, where she continued her course of escalact.

No. 7, Elizabeth Valkemeth. The at Assolutts regularly took part also in the selections for the gas chamber, and you will hear of the many personal cruelties which the inflicted on people. Then she came to Belsen she was placed in charge of all somen 5.5. as the head weem in the came by Errore. You will no doubt come to the conclusion, when you hear of the various positions which those persons from Assolution took up at Belsen, that Extract was very well satisfied with their behaviour at Assolute, and put them in authority when they got to Belsen. You will

hear again of her many creatties at Delaca.

No. 8, Ehlert, was an S.S. guard. She joined the S.S. on the 15th Bowmber, 1940. She claims to have been a conscript. You will hear that after a conser in various consentration comes she eventually arrived in Belsen, after a spell first of all in associate. She was the second in commend of the women, and like so many others she considers that the conditions there were a sheet and diagrace, but, of course, were caused by everybody other than herself.

The next, Ima Greec, was the Communicat of working parties, and for a time was in command of the womens punishent quarter at Auscinding the has been described by some of the people as the worst women in the cusp, and there is not one type of analty which took place in that comp for which she has not been known as being responsible. She regularly took part in the selections for the gas charber, made up punishments of her cust, and when she came to Belson she carried on in precisely the same way. The too specialized in setting dogs on people. She has made thrue statements which wary interestingly. I will not go into the details of these now, but you will see her gradually the light come to her when her manony returns, and she gives some very interesting accounts of her our autocodents.

The next one is Cura, who was a block funger there. You will hear evidence of at least two numbers by him there.

The next is Uchreizer, who was in charge of block 250, a block fuhrer. There again you will hear evidence of his regular cruelty.

Those are the 3.5. members who were in Auscheits. The remaining three parsons charged in respect of Auscheits are like Lotin, No. 10, Lobauer, No. 11, and Storonka, No. 45. These three were themselves prisoners. You will hear that they were referred to as Copes, thich is a universal term applied to prisoners placed in authority, or as block altestors, or lagar altestors. Lobauer was in charge of the womans working parties there, and you will hear that she was just as cruel in her treatment as any of the 3.5. woman and emouraged 3.5. weren to turn dogs on internance. Lobauer, No. 11, was the lagar capes, that is to say, the lasting woman prisoner in the case. She took on active part in the selection of victims for the gas charter and in any other cruelties.

Lestly, No. 47, who was first of all a block altester for one of the blocks and later lagar altestor, and took on equal part in the qualities. Those are the persons conserved with Auscheits.

with regard to the others at Belsen, I can take a goosp fairly quickly together. I do not propose to go into any detail about them beyond saying that these persons were all 3.3. sen in charge of or working in kitchens.

No. 12, Elipper, No. 16 Firesich, No. 18 Methes, No. 22 Pinchen, No. 28 Bersch, No. 33 Lise Ferster, No. 34 Ida Forster, No. 39 Maschke, No. 42 Limients, No. 44 Mespel. They all worked in the kitchens, and you will hear again how each and every one of these people behaved to the intermess. If you ever had any doubt as to whether there was evidence of

concerted actions, when you have considered the way in which these persons behaved at each of those kitchers I shall suggest that the Court can no longer have the slightest fount, because it could not be coincidence that each of those persons should behave in the same callons way.

The recaining 3.5. seen are two block fubrers, 23 ofte, 47 Polanaid, who was an assistant block fubrer, and a number of what I might call sincellaneous administrative staff, the Schultz, 24 Eggsudorf, who was in charge of the bread above, 35 Optic, who was in charge of a working party. 36 Charlotte Eledin, 37 Boths, 35 Frieds Walter, who was in charge of the bath house, them there are two paraons who came with the transport from Born, an 3.5. man, No. 15, Ealesson, and 31, Datrowooki, who was one of his Eapos. There were two more who came with the transport from Born, an 3.5. man, No. 15, Ealesson, and 31, Datrowooki, who was one of his Eapos. There were two more who came with the transport from Bordhausen, 25 Stoffel, who was in charge, 27 Dor, who was the second in command of that transport. No waill hear accepting of their behaviour. Then in addition there were a marker of Eapos, and the remainder may all be introduced as Eapos as one kind or another. Bo. 20 Burgraf, he was the block altester of Bo. 19 block, No. 29 Moddel, lagar altester of No. 1 camp, 30 Schlambivier, No. 34 I have already introduced as one of the two free Bore. When he came to Bolack he to the post tion as block altester of block No. 19. No. 32 Aurentsief, block altester and later beause camp policenarus. Those are all the personalities of the persona in the camp. I have deliberately avoided going into the details of what they did, but may I conclude my opening by saying again that if you are satisfied on this evidence that these conditions did exist in Beleau, that these conditions did exist in Austral Eapos and the personal who you considered took an active part at either of those camps, however small it may be,

As in all cases, it is the duty of the Prosecution to prove the guilt of the secured beyond any reasonable doubt, and unless the Prosecution do fulfill that barden of proof them it will be your duty to sequit any one of these persons the you may be in doubt about, but if you are satisfied that they in fact acquiesced in and took part in the atmoities of which you will be told, that they erented the conditions which you will see, insofar as they can be seen on a film, that they were responsible for the mass manders both at Belown and at Amsolwits, then the Prosecution say they have made out their case and that the charges which have been put before you have been fully proved.

(At 1625 hours the Court adjourns until 1000 hours tomorrow morning, Tuesday, 18th September, 1915).

The same

concerted actions, when you have considered the way in which these persons believed at each of those kitchers I shall suggest that the Court can no longer have the alightest doubt, because it could not be coincidence that each of those persons should believe in the same callons way.

The remining 3.5. son are two block fubrers, 23 Otto, 47 Polsoski, who was an ancistant block furger, and a mader of what I might call miscellaneous administrative staff, the Schritz, 24 Speradorf, who was in charge of the bread store, 35 Opits, who was in charge of a working party, 36 Charlotte Elein, 37 Bothe, 38 Frieda Walter, who was in charge of the garden, 40 Fiest, 45 Bauer, 45 Bahmal, who was in charge of the bath house, then there are two persons who came with the transport from Dorn, an S.S. man, No. 19, Kalesson, and 31, Catronoski, who was one of his Kapos. There were two more who came with the transport from Mordhausen, 25 stoffel, who was in charge, 27 Dor, who was the second in commend of that transport.
You will heer something of their behaviour. Then in addition there were a
master of kepos, and the remainder may all be introduced as kepos as one kind or another. No. 20 Burgraf, he was the block altester of No. 19 block, No. 29 Zoddel, lager altester of No. 1 casp, 30 Johlandision, No. 31 I have already introduced as one of the two from Dore. When he came to Balann he took up his position as block situator of block No. 19. Aurester, block altester of block 12. No. 45, Noth, who was a lager altester. I think she was block altester of block 199. No. 46, No. who was a block altester and later became cump policemonan. Those at Ho. 46, Kopper, Those are all the personalities of the persons in the case. I have deliberately avoided going into the details of what they did, but may I conclude my opening by saying again that if you are satisfied on this evidence that these conditions did exist in Belows, that these conditions did exist in Ausohalts, then the Prosection have amply made out a case against each one of those persons who you considered took an active part at either of those comps, however small it may be.

As in all cases, it is the duty of the Prosecution to prove the guilt of the secured beyond any reasonable doubt, and unless the Prosecution do fulfill that barden of proof them it will be your duty to securit any one of these persons who you may be in doubt about, but if you are estimised that they in fact acquiesced in and took part in the atmostures of which you will be told, that they erented the conditions which you will see, insofar as they can be seen on a film, that they were responsible for the mass manders both at Belson and at Australia, then the Prosecution say they have made out their case and that the charges which have been put before you have been fully proved.

(At 1625 hours the Court adjourns until 1000 hours tomorrow morning, Tuesday, 18th September, 1945).

concerted actions, when you have considered the way in which these persons behaved at each of those kitchess I shall august that the Court can no longer have the slightest doubt, because it could not be coincidence that each of those persons should behave in the same callous way.

The remaining S.S. men are two block funrers, 23 Otto, 47 Polsmani, who was an assistant block flaver, and a master of what I might call miscellaneous administrative staff, the Schedus, 24 Sepredorf, who was in charge of the bread store, 35 Opits, the was in charge of a working party. . 36 Charlotte Klain, 37 Boths, 38 Frieda Walter, who was in charge of the garden, 40 Fiest, 41 Sauer, 45 Salmal, who was in charge of the bath house, then there are two paraons who came with the transport from Dorn, an S.S. man, No. 19, Ralemann, and 31, Catrowooki, who was one of his Rapos. There were two more who came with the transport from Mordhausen, 25 Stoffel, who was in charge, 27 Dor, who was the second in commend of that transport.
You will hear secretaing of their behaviour. Then in addition there were a number of kepos, and the remainder may all be introduced as Kepos as one kind or another. No. 20 Burgraf, he was the block altester of No. 19 block, No. 29 Zoddel, lager altester of No. 1 camp, 30 John produced, No. 31 I have already introduced as one of the two from Dore. When he came to Belsen he took up his position as block altester of block No. 19. Aurdatej, block altester of block 12. No. 45, Noth, who was a lager altester. I think she was block altester of block 199. No. 46, No. altester. I think she was block altester of block 199. In who was a block altester and later bosom comp policesome. No. 46, Kopper, Those are all the personalities of the persons in the camp. I have deliberately avoided going into the details of what they did, but may I conclude my opening by saying again that if you are satisfied on this evidence that those conditions did exist in Belson, that these conditions did exist in Ausobrits, then the Prosecution have amply made out a case against each one of those permus who you considered took an active part at either of those camps, however small it may be.

As in all cases, it is the duty of the Prosecution to prove the guilt of the secured beyond any reasonable doubt, and unless the Prosecution do fulfill that burden of proof them it will be your duty to sequit any one of these persons who you may be in doubt about, but if you are estimfied that they in fact acquisaced in and took part in the atmosities of which you will be told, that they erested the continuous which you will see, insofar as they can be seen on a file, that they were responsible for the mass markers both at Belown and at Assolwitz, then the Prosecution say they have made out their case and that the charges which have been put before you have been fully proved.

(At 1625 hours the Court adjourns until 1000 hours tomorrow marning, Tuesday, 18th September, 1945).

Prod.

concerted actions, when you have considered the way in which these persons behaved at each of those kitchens I shall suggest that the Court can no larger have the slightest doubt, because it could not be coincidence that each of those persons should behave in the some callous way.

The reseiring 5.5. sen are two block fairure, 25 Otto, 47 Folkasti, who was an assistant block fairure, and a master of what I might call miscellaneous administrative staff, the Schultu, 24 Egeradorf, who was in charge of the busid above, 35 Opits, who was in charge of a working party, 36 Charlotte Kledn, 37 Boths, 38 Frieda Valtor, who was in charge of the bath home, then there are two paraons who came with the transport from Dorn, an 8.3. then there are two paraons who came with the transport from Dorn, an 8.3. There were two para who came with the transport from Born, an 8.3. There were two para who came with the transport from Born, an 8.3. There were two para who came with the transport from Born, and 8.3 Stoffel, who was in charge, 27 Dor, who was the second in commend of that transport. You will hear searthing of their behaviour. Then in addition there were a master of Expos, and the readdher may all be introduced as Kapos as one kind or anothers. So. 20 Eurgraf, he was the block altester of No. 19 block, No. 29 Soddel, larger altester of No. 1 camp, 30 Schlassovice, No. 31 I have already introduced as one of the two from Dorn. Then he came to helden he book up his position as block altester of block No. 19. No. 32 Australy, block altester of block altester of block altester of block altester of block altesters. Think she was block altester of block 199. No. 46, Ropper, who was a block altester find later became camp policemorum. Those are all the personalities of the personal in the camp. I have deliberately avoided going into the details of what they did, but may I conclude my opening by saying again that if you are satisfied on this evidence that those conditions did exist in Beloem, that these conditions did exist in Ausohaits, then the Proceedion have easily made out a case against each one of those persons who you considered took an active part at either of those camps, however small it may be.

As in all cases, it is the duty of the Prosecution to prove the guilt of the accused beyond any reasonable doubt, and unless the Prosecution do fulfill that burden of proof them it will be your duty to sequit any one of these persons who you may be in doubt about, but if you are extinfied that they in fact acquiesced in and took port in the atmoities of which you will be told, that they erented the continions which you will see, incofar as they can be seen on a film, that they were responsible for the mass numbers both at Belson and at Ausonabets, then the Prosecution say they have made out their case and that the charges which have been put before you have been fully proved.

(At 1625 hours the Court adjourns until 1000 hours towns morning, Tuesday, 18th September, 1945).